

DSG Discussion

Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations

DSG Date:	22/01/2024
DSG Summary:	<p>PO introduced this change raised by EON which had been approved at January ChMC, to move into development. PO advised, this change as a high priority change due to being a regulatory change under Modification (IGT173), PO summarised, that currently, RPC backing data files are issued in a variety of ways (email and dedicated portal) this change is required to support the implementation of this Modification, so that the data can be issued via the IX. PO advised that funding will be agreed during the development of the Modification and the impacted parties are Shipper and IGTs.</p> <p>KD provided a background and advised, that a target delivery date of November 2024 is proposed, as it is a technical change introducing an IX Delivery of the RPC files, it is a candidate for a 6-month notice period, so in order to deliver to this date, it should be ready for this month or next month, but if any showstoppers come out through the consultation, it would get delayed. KD noted that, if it does progress quickly, it may not come to too many discussions at DSG as a result before it starts to go into Design and Delivery.</p> <p>KD advised from a design point of view, the ROM is currently being reviewed by Xoserve and Correla following last IGT workgroup and would look to go through communication Type 2 delivery, which means essentially just a postmaster. It will use file naming convention as the mechanism to share between shippers; from the IGT, noting that what it will need at this time to be confirmed via the ROM, is configuration of the IX connections to enable this to happen. KD Noted that the amendments are currently being done as part of the Ancillary documents to tell people how you need to name it, how it needs to be put together, so that will not be necessarily driven by the design of this change, but it will be included as part of the requirements because it has to go through the IX. KD called out, how this will be funded and noted that it is mentioned in the IGT Mod. KD suggested, pushing this into the IX area of the statement, as it is not about the content of what goes through the IX, backing data, but this is being a postmaster. Recent discussions with Xoserve are to put it in the IX area and if not create its own area. KD does not want this putting into the Invoicing area in case other files go through this in the future and it makes it complicated.</p> <p>KD welcomed any questions. No questions were raised.</p> <p>PO summarised KD's points and added, that if you are an active Shipper engaging with IGT charges, then the best place to get updates relating to this Modifications and latest updates on how this is progressing, is in the IGT workgroup space. KD agreed. KD also wanted to cover, a point raised at the ChMC, that if the files were not received in a timely manner what would happen? KD advised that this has been covered in the Modification rules so a contingency, or a query management process, and has tried to incorporate as much as possible, and unlike normal IGT changes, this will have a voluntary testing window, as it is a bit out of the</p>

	<p>norm, but having the ability to test is required and the right thing to do and whilst aiming for a delivery of November 24, is not doing this blindly, it is trying to make sure that this works, as nobody wants to be taking passwords off documents to load them or the rest of our lives because it is a very clunky admin heavy process.</p> <p>No further questions raised. Lee Greenwood advised he was at the IGT workgroup and thanked KD for additional information.</p> <p>PO advised that this is proposed to come back at the Design stage in March or April to see how this progresses to allow time for the 6-month notice period for the Modification and Implementation date. The next IGT workgroup is 8th February and if interested and have any reps, PO welcomed any feedback and recommended attending this, or if you need to discuss offline KD advised that she is happy to filter any questions to meet the November 2024 deadline.</p>
DSG Date:	20/05/2024
DSG Summary:	<p><i>XRN5720 - Gateway delivery for RPC backing data (IGT173)</i></p> <p>Ishfaq Hussain (IH) provided a background to this Detailed Design Change Pack (DDCP) as detailed on slide 16 of the presentation explaining that this is looking to create a uniform streamlined process across all IGTs and Shippers which will utilise a secure gateway to cascade Relative Price Control (RPC) backlog data files to Shippers. IH explained, that the Information Exchange (IX) was stated as the universal delivery mechanism to be used as part of IGT Modification 173. The RPC invoicing backing data is issued by the IGTs to the relevant Shippers currently via a variety of different delivery mechanisms predominantly email and bespoke portal.</p> <p>IH explained that implementation of IGT173 so that the data can be issued securely between parties in a consistent manner and therefore there are a number of changes that will be introduced as part of this CP to introduce mechanisms to transfer RPC files and use of Cloud Information Exchange (CIX) to transfer the RPC files.</p> <p>IH advised as PO explained earlier, that the DDCP was issued on the 13th May for consultation with a consultation closing date of 28th May 2024. Details can be found here 3281 - LO - PO - May Change Packs 2024 - XOSERVE</p> <p>IH shared the information from Slide 17 which highlights the 5 key steps of the Design Summary of the chosen solution and welcomed impacted parties to review this and provide any feedback before the consultation closes on 28th May and prior to voting by Shippers and IGTs who will vote on the outcome of the DDCP at the ChMC in June '24.</p> <p>IH noted that the use of Communication Type 2 for data handling, that CDSP will not be validating or retaining any information in the files in any way as this is a 'Postbox' delivery mechanism which is provided by CDSP to send files between two parties. The CDSP will not have permission to view the content of the .RPC files in relation to data handling but will complete necessary steps to connect the IGTs and Shippers in a technical capacity.</p>

	<p>IH advised that there are amendments to the UKLAD3 UK link File Transfer definition document and is recommended that impacted parties review this.</p> <p>PO advised that CDSP have received 1 consultation response so far relating to the structure of the file format for the .RPC file format, the question was that the DDCP does not include the file format, PO explained, that as this is governed under UNC IGT, this is in line with the IGT code and there is no change.</p> <p>Kirsty Dudley (KD) agreed with PO and advised that the document highlighted on the first slide outlines the format that it should be send in and explained that all that is changing is how the file is named and the delivery mechanism, the content has been untouched so it should still remain as a CSV file that is outlined KD was happy to support and offered to pick this up with the customer if any further clarification is required. PO advised he would loop KD into this group discussion.</p> <p>KD went on to say, for those parties that were unable to attend the workgroups, and rather than hunting through minutes, and may be hard to find an answer, KD is happy to be included and to support.</p> <p>PO asked if any further questions on the call.</p> <p>KD wanted to point out, that if there is anything else that anyone wants to be involved in to support testing at the next IGT UNC as this will be the strategy over the next couple of months to discuss the testing approach other than 'can it be received, and are there error messages' to please reach out to her and this can be incorporated as part of the 'Straw man' testing approach. PO thanked KD for her input.</p> <p>PO advised that he is looking forward to seeing how this continues to progress awaiting approval at the June ChMC.</p>		
DSG Recommendation:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Approve	<input type="checkbox"/> Reject	<input type="checkbox"/> Defer
DSG Recommended Release:	Release X: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY		