

Section C: DSG Discussion

C1: Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations

DSG Date:	20/03/2023		
DSG Summary:	<p>JB presented this agenda item. JB advised that this change has been raised to develop a SAR exception process. In addition, this is based around conversations between parties, where the incumbent registered user does not submit the agreed read, therefore this gives a new route for the outgoing Shipper to supply that read that has been agreed. JB advised the other part to call out is that the incumbent would be able to use this process where the agreed read exceeds the market breaker tolerance, so the reasoning behind that is if those two parties have agreed on the correct read, regardless of exceeding the market breaker tolerance should it be deemed not to be correct when obviously both parties are aligned. JB advised that epic level requirements want to ensure that the transfer reads agreed or are accepted on supply point register. Furthermore, JB stated that as you go through the requirements, they are broken down into user stories. The CDSP wants to enable Shippers to be able to submit that type of read and then the next step the CDSP wants to be able to validate it and notify the submitting user where that submission is invalid. The next requirement refers to the Shipper being able to reject the COMR so that the COMR is not entered into the supply point register. In addition, the requirement will look to allow the CDSP to process a COMR rejection so that the read is not progressed, alongside this the Shipper would require a notification that a COMR they submitted has been rejected. This allows the Shipper to discuss the rejection with the non-submitting user</p>		
DSG Recommendation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Approve	<input type="checkbox"/> Reject	<input type="checkbox"/> Defer
DSG Recommended Release:	Release X: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY		

DSG Date:	20/03/2023		
DSG Summary:	<p>JB presented this agenda item. As part of this change proposal, a new process is required to provide a remedy for SARs that have failed to be progressed (exceptions) within a reasonable period to be proactively managed by the Central Data Services Provider (CDSP).</p> <p>JB advised that there is a single solution option for this Change pack. Solution option: New process in the [new] Contact Management System to manage Corrective Opening Meter Read submission, referral and storage in the Supply Point Register. This option involves system impacts to SAP PO, SAP ISU and CMS.</p> <p>The solution involves a new contact to be added to the new CMS to support submission, referral, validation and load to the Supply Point Register of Corrective Opening Meter Reads (COMR). After a successful creation of contact in the new CMS, read data will be validated against the Supply Point Register. On receipt of a referral, the non-submitting</p>		

	<p>Shipper will have the option to respond to dispute the COMR. After 20 SPSBD, where the non-submitting user has not disputed the COMR then it will be progressed. The Submitting Shipper will be notified of the outcome of read submission via contact. Furthermore, file format changes are required to the Bulk Contact Logging (BCL) file UK Link and New APIs will be created to support the process between the [new] CMS and UK Link. In addition, downstream processes such as volume calculation, reconciliation and AQ calculation, will progress as per existing processes PAC Report. These new PAC reports are to provide statistics relating to the requests received/rejected/accepted and quantify the requests from incoming and outgoing Shippers to be produced by the [new] CMS. The report requirements are to be defined by the PAC and approved.</p> <p>There are assumption that the PAC reporting data requirements will be provided prior to build phase. Furthermore, there will be no system impact to GES as the New Corrective Opening Meter Reads will be uploaded and displayed in the system in line with Shipper Agreed Read. In addition, there are no ongoing costs identified but this will not be determined until design phase is reached.</p> <p>The overall impact of this solution is medium with a recommended Release type as standalone/major. This overall solution has a high level delivery cost estimate of £70,000 - £150,000.</p> <p>JB asked attendees/ Shippers on the call if they had any views and if so. To please submit them via the Change Pack process on Xoserve.com.</p>		
DSG Recommendation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Approve	<input type="checkbox"/> Reject	<input type="checkbox"/> Defer
DSG Recommended Release:	Release X: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY		