## **Section C: DSG Discussion**

## C1: Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations

DSG Date:	20/03/2023		
DSG Summary:	JB presented this agenda item. XRN5605 has been raised to deliver the requirements of IGT Modification 159, Amendments to the Must Read process. JB advised the modification has been raised to update the IGT must read process to ensure it is fit for purpose. This includes making the following changes:  - Placing a timeframe on the must read being provided by the IGT to ensure is aligns with the current validation window.  - Allowing Shippers a mechanism to exclude SMPs from the IGT must read process which have a known meter issue preventing reads being obtained.  - Excluding SMART, AMR and DCC Active SMPs from being included in the IGT must read process.  - Where there is a Supplier of Last Resort (SoLR) or Change Of Shipper (CoS) event, allow a 4 month pause in the relevant SMP(s) entering the IGT must read process.  - Ensuring Performance Assurance Committee (PAC) are provided the relevant information about the IGT must read process.		
	JB added that the customer level requirements have bene drafted and submitted for impact assessment. Furthermore, JB stated the epic level requirements look at updating the must read process to ensure the only valid must reads are sourced and submitted.  — Requirement: is around validating the must read that has been submitted by the IGT and must read is within the 25 day rule and where it is not, the CDSP will issue a message back to the IGT to exclude smart enabled devices.  — Requirement: This requirement refers to a supply point meter where the meter has been flagged as having an issue that could be flagged by the IGT or Shipper.  — Requirement: This is to enable to IGTs and Shippers to be able to notify the CDSP that the meter that has flagged as an issue with that given meter. Therefore, the requirement is for the Shipper to do it.  JB advised customers to have a full review of the slide deck to		
	understand all requirements listed for this change.		

DSG Recommendation:	☐ Approve	□ Reject	☐ Defer
DSG Recommended Release:	Release X: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY		

DSG Date:	22/05/2023		
DSG Summary:	JB presented this agenda item. JB outlined the high level solution document for this change. JB advised the change looks to amend the IGT must read process in line with the Modification IGT159V requirements which include the following:  • Placing a timeframe on the must read being provided by the IGT to ensure it aligns with the current validation window.  • Allowing Shippers a mechanism to exclude SMPs from the IGT must read process which have a known meter issue preventing reads being obtained.  • Excluding SMART, AMR and DCC Active SMPs from being included in the IGT must read process.  • Where there is a Supplier of Last Resort (SoLR) or Change of Shipper (CoS) event, allow a 4 month pause in the relevant SMP(s) entering the IGT must read process.  • Ensuring the Performance Assurance Committee (PAC) are provided the relevant information about the IGT must read process  JB outlined there are 3 proposed solution options for this change: Option 1: XRN5605 uses Industry Flows Option 3: XRN5605 uses Industry Flows with sFTP The details of the high level solution option comparison can be found using the following link: XRN5605 HLSO Document A recap of the options presented at DSG can be found below Solution Option 1: Overview There will be three main parts to the solution:		
	<ol> <li>A facility to flag/unflag an SMP as having a meter issue, for the purposes of must read process.</li> </ol>		

	2. A facility to evaluate the new business validation rules, as		
	set out in MOD IGT159V (e.g., SMP is flagged to have a		
	known meter issue) and prepare reports, for Customers, of		
	meters that must be read.		
	Addition of new PARR report/s to support MOD IGT159V.		
	CDSP Impact:	Medium	
	Customer Impact:	Small	
	Release Type:	Standalone	
	Cost Estimate:	Option A. 110,000 GBP to 2 GBP Option B. 92,000 GBP to 19 GBP	
	Customer Requirement	100%	
	Coverage:	100%	
	Solution Option 2: Overview The summary of Solution Option 2 is as per Option 1 however under Option 2, Customers will use newly defined Industry Flows (i.e., new record format and new files) into UKLINK, via the IX to flag/unflag SMPs.		
	CDSP Impact:	Medium	
	Customer Impact:	Medium	
	Release Type:	Standalone	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	Cost Estimate:	98,000 GBP to 197,000 GBF	
	Cost Estimate:  Customer Requirement Coverage:	98,000 GBP to 197,000 GBF	
	Customer Requirement Coverage:  Solution Option 3: Overview The summary of Solution Op under Option 3 customers w	100%	
	Customer Requirement Coverage:  Solution Option 3: Overview The summary of Solution Op under Option 3 customers w (i.e., the CDSP will use the M SMPs.	tion 3 is as per Option 1 however, ill use a newly defined sFTP service,	
	Customer Requirement Coverage:  Solution Option 3: Overview The summary of Solution Op under Option 3 customers w (i.e., the CDSP will use the M SMPs.  CDSP Impact:	tion 3 is as per Option 1 however, ill use a newly defined sFTP service, OVEit tool) into UKLINK to flag/unflag  Medium	
	Customer Requirement Coverage:  Solution Option 3: Overview The summary of Solution Op under Option 3 customers w (i.e., the CDSP will use the M SMPs.  CDSP Impact: Customer Impact:	tion 3 is as per Option 1 however, ill use a newly defined sFTP service, OVEit tool) into UKLINK to flag/unflag	
	Customer Requirement Coverage:  Solution Option 3: Overview The summary of Solution Op under Option 3 customers w (i.e., the CDSP will use the M SMPs.  CDSP Impact:	tion 3 is as per Option 1 however, ill use a newly defined sFTP service, OVEit tool) into UKLINK to flag/unflag  Medium  Large	
	Customer Requirement Coverage:  Solution Option 3: Overview The summary of Solution Op under Option 3 customers w (i.e., the CDSP will use the M SMPs.  CDSP Impact: Customer Impact: Release Type:	tion 3 is as per Option 1 however, ill use a newly defined sFTP service, OVEit tool) into UKLINK to flag/unflag  Medium  Large  Standalone	
	Customer Requirement Coverage:  Solution Option 3: Overview The summary of Solution Op under Option 3 customers w (i.e., the CDSP will use the M SMPs.  CDSP Impact: Customer Impact: Release Type: Cost Estimate: Customer Requirement	tion 3 is as per Option 1 however, ill use a newly defined sFTP service, OVEit tool) into UKLINK to flag/unflag  Medium  Large  Standalone  93,000 GBP to 187,000 GBF	
DSG Recommendation:	Customer Requirement Coverage:  Solution Option 3: Overview The summary of Solution Op under Option 3 customers w (i.e., the CDSP will use the M SMPs.  CDSP Impact: Customer Impact: Release Type: Cost Estimate: Customer Requirement	tion 3 is as per Option 1 however, ill use a newly defined sFTP service, OVEit tool) into UKLINK to flag/unflag  Medium  Large  Standalone  93,000 GBP to 187,000 GBF  100%	

DSG Recommended Release:

Release X: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY

DSG Date:	21/08/2023		
DSG Summary:	JB gave an overview of this agenda item noting the following key points;  • Ability to set a flag to prevent a meter from entering the IGT Must Read process.  • Ability to pause meters from entering the IGT Must Read process for a defined number of days, due to reasons of Change of Shipper (CoS), Change of Supplier (CoSup), etc.  • New Performance Assurance Committee (PAC)		
DSG Recommendation:	□ Approve	□ Reject	□ Defer
DSG Recommended Release:	Release X: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY		

DSG Date:	20/11/2023
DSG Summary:	Rajiv Patel (RP) explained that IGT159V states that a business rule is required to validate that a submitted meter reading is not older than 25 business days from the date it was obtained. RP explained that this change is looking at improvements to the current way that the must-read process currently works for meters connected to an IGT network and is currently planned to be a February 24 Major Release. The slides provided were issued for information and to provide further clarification that we are provided has no impact on the solution design and it arose when we were in analysis phase. RP advised that the IGT Modification articulates a business rule, that states there needs to be validation around the period between date the meter read was obtained until the date it was loaded. RP

	confirmed that UK Link already has a business rule that validates a		
	submitted meter reading must not be older than 25 business days,		
	from the date the reading was obtained. Clarification 1: that 25 business days only applies to meter points in Class 4, this is an existing rule, the point of clarity her is it only applies to Class 4 meter points. Clarification 2: There is another existing rule that validates a submitted meter reading must not be older than 10 business dates		
	which only applies to meter points in Class 3. In terms of point of		
	clarity that there is a slight difference if the meter is in Class 4		
	instead of Class 3.		
	The rule itself for class 3 meter points operates on a relatively		
	small volume compared to Class 4, and in terms of this rule		
	ongoing, we note that the amount of meter points that this 10 day		
	rule will operate on will likely decrease over time, particularly		
	because IGT 159V itself requires meter points with smart devices		
	to be excluded completely from the IGT must read process. This in		
	turn will the number of times the Class 3 logic is applied from		
	February 24 onwards.		
	RP asked if anyone had any questions on the slides and no		
DCC	questions were raised		
DSG	☐ Approve	□ Reject	☐ Defer
Recommendation:	• •		
DSG Recommended	Release X: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY		
Release:			