

DSC Change Proposal Document

Customers to fill out all of the information in the sections coloured
Xoserve to fill out all of the information in the sections coloured

A1: General Details

Change Reference:	XRN4994				
Change Title:	PAFA Contract Extension 2019/20				
Date Raised:	30/07/2019				
	Organisation:	Xoserve			
Sponsor	Name:	Fiona Cottam			
Representative Details:	Email:	Fiona.Cottam@xoserve.com			
	Telephone:	0121 623 2695			
	Name:	Fiona Cottam			
Xoserve	Email:	Fiona.Cottam@xoserve.com			
Representative Details:	Telephone:	0121 2	29 2199		
	Business Owner:	Fiona Cottam			
Changa Status	☐ Proposal		☐ With DSG	☐ Out for Review	
Change Status:	☐ Voting			☐ Rejected	

A2: Impacted Parties

	⊠ Shipper	☐ Distribution Network Operator		
Customer Class(es):	☐ NG Transmission	□ IGT		
	□ All	☐ Other <please details="" here="" provide=""></please>		
Justification for Customer Class(es) selection	PAFA Service is covered in the DSC Direct Services – Code Services, Service Area 3, which is 100% funded by Shippers.			

A3: Proposer Requirements / Final (redlined) Change

Problem Statement:	Uniform Network Code by Modification 0506V: Gas Performance Assurance Framework and Governance Arrangements introduced the new role of an independent Performance Assurance Framework Administrator (PAFA) to the Gas Industry. The Administrator's role is to support the Performance Assurance Committee (PAC) with reporting, risk management and provision of settlement expertise. The provision of a PAFA service is a CDSP Direct Service under Service Area 3: "Record/Submit Data in Compliance with UNC".
--------------------	---



	T			
	Xoserve undertook a regulated competitive selection process in 2017, with support from Gas Industry participants, and Gemserv was appointed to the role of PAFA on 30 June 2017. The governance arrangements for PAFA as set out in the "Performance Assurance Framework Document" specify a two year initial appointment period, with the option of a further one year extension. The initial term of the PAFA expired at the end of June 2019, and after consulting with the UNC Performance Assurance Committee as to whether to extend the contract or make a new appointment, Xoserve negotiated a contract extension with Gemserv.			
Change Description:	As the end of the initial two year term approached, Xoserve negotiated the terms of the one year extension. The scope of PAFA role has increased since the original appointment, with the PAC members requesting more involved of the PAFA in matters relating to Unidentified Gas and related UNC Modification Proposals. This increase is reflected in the higher costs for 2019/20. The alternative option of commencing a new competitive selection would have resulted in a gap in service of around 9 months whilst a procurement was undertaken.			
Proposed Release:	Adhoc with effect from 01/07/2019			
_	☐ 10 Working Days	☐ 15 Working Days		
Proposed Consultation Period:	☐ 20 Working Days ☐ No further consultation proposed, as this is the extension of an existing service			

A4: Benefits and Justification

Benefit Description:	The key benefit of extending this contract is continuity of service by the existing PAFA for one further year and their ability to support gas industry performance improvement.
	What, if any, are the tangible benefits of introducing this change? What, if any, are the intangible benefits of introducing this change?
Benefit Realisation:	Benefits will be realised across the period July 2019 to June 2020, in terms of the continuity of service. Benefits in terms of improved settlement accuracy and/or timeliness of meter point reconciliation may be seen beyond that date, if improvements achieved by PAFA involvement are sustained. When are the benefits of the change likely to be realised?
Benefit	None identified. Gemserv have indicated their agreement to the extension and to continuing in the role for a further 12 months.
Dependencies:	Please detail any dependencies that would be outside the scope of the change, this could be reliance on another delivery, reliance on some other event that the projects has not got direct control of.



A5: Final Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations – Removed

(see Section C for DSG recommendations)

A6: Service Lines and Funding

Service Line(s)						
Impacted - New or	an organisation to the position of Performance Assurance Framework					
existing	Administrator Minor – allows continued compliance with the requirement, with minor					
Level of Impact	increase in scope					
If None please give justification	N/A					
Impacts on UK Link						
Manual/ Data Permissions Matrix	None					
Level of Impact	None					
If None please give justification	No UKLink data flows result from this service					
	Customer Classes/ Funding	Delivery of Change	On-going Budget Amendment			
	⊠ Shipper	100%	100%			
Funding Classes	sses National Grid Transmission 0% 0%					
:	□ Distribution Network Operator 0% 0%					
	□ IGT 0% 0%					
	0%					
ROM or funding details:	I N/A					
Funding Comments:	Service is 100% Shipper funded as per DSC Charging Statement					

A7: ChMC Recommendation

Change Status:	 □ Approve – Contact extension approved subject to CoMC approved DSG validation required 	□ Reject	□ Defer		
Industry	□ 10 Working Days □ 15 Working Days				
Consultation:	☐ 20 Working Days	□ Ot	ther [Specify	/ Here]	
Expected date of receipt for responses (to Xoserve)	XX/XX/XXXX				
DSC Consultation Issue:	□ Yes	□ No)		
Date Issued:	Click here to enter a date.				
Comms Ref(s):					



Number	of	
Response	S:	

A8: DSC Voting Outcome - N/A

	☐ Shipper			Please select.
Oakstan Vations	☐ National Grid Transmission			Please select.
Solution Voting:	☐ Distribution Network Operator		Please select.	
	□ IGT	□IGT		Please select.
Meeting Date:	Click here to	enter a date	<u>,</u>	
Release Date:	Release: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY or NA			
Overall Outcome:	□ No □ Yes If [Yes] please			specify <release></release>

Please send the completed forms to: $\underline{box.xoserve.portfoliooffice@xoserve.com}$



Version Control

Document

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Remarks
1	Proposal	30/07/2019	Xoserve	For consideration at July Change Management Committee
2	Approved	12/08/2019	Xoserve	Outcome from ChMC on 7 th August added

Template

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Remarks
3.0	Superseded	17/07/2018	Emma Smith	Template approved at ChMC on 11th July 2018
4.0	Superseded	07/09/2018	Emma Smith	Minor wording amendments and additional customer group impact within Appendix 1
5.0	Superseded	10/12/2018	Heather Spensley	Template moved to new Word template as part of Corporate Identity changes.
6.0	Approved	12/12/2018	Simon Harris	Cosmetic changes made. Approved at ChMC on the 12 th December 2018.
6.1	In Draft	26/03/2019	Richard Johnson/ Alison Cross	The following minor changes were made: - Inclusion of an All 'Impacted Parties' option in A2 - Justification section added to section A2 - Change Description replaced with Problem Statement in section A3 - Remove 'X' in Release information (sections A3, A5, A7, C1 and G8) - Updated Service Line and UK Link impacts and funding section (A6) to include further detail - Amended questions 3 and 4 in section B - Added Service Line/UK link Assessment in section D - Removed Section A5
6.2	For approval	14/05/2019	Alison Cross	Following review at DSC Governance review group re- added Change Description text box



7.0	Approved	13/06/2019	Richard Johnson	DSC Governance Review Group changes to the template approved at Change Management
				Committee on 12th June 2019

Appendix 1

Change Prioritisation Variables 32%

Xoserve uses the following variables set for each and every change within the Xoserve Change Register, to derive the indicative benefit prioritisation score, which will be used in conjunction with the perceived delivery effort to aid conversations at the DSC ChMC and DSC Delivery Sub Groups to prioritise changes into all future minor and major releases.

Change Driver Type	☐ CMA Order ☐ MOD / Ofgem				
	☐ EU Legislation ☐ License Condition				
	☐ BEIS ☐ ChMC endorsed Change Proposal				
	☐ SPAA Change Proposal ☐ Additional or 3 rd Party Service Request				
	☐ Other(please provide details below)				
Please select the customer	Shipper Impact □ iGT Impact □ Network Impact				
group(s) who would be impacted					
if the change is not delivered	·				
Associated Change reference	XRN4994				
Number(s)					
Associated MOD Number(s) Perceived delivery effort	□ 0 – 30 ⊠ 30 – 60				
reiceived delivery elloit					
	☐ 60 – 100 ☐ 100+ days				
Does the project involve the	Yes (If yes please answer the next question)				
processing of personal data? 'Any information relating to an identifiable	□ No				
person who can be directly or indirectly					
identified in particular by reference to an					
identifier' – includes MPRNS. A Data Protection Impact	☐ New technology ☐ Vulnerable customer data ☐ Theft of Gas				
Assessment (DPIA) will be	☐ Mass data ☐ Xoserve employee data				
required if the delivery of the	· ·				
change involves the processing of	☐ Fundamental changes to Xoserve business				
personal data in any of the	☑ Other(please provide details below)				
following scenarios:	(If any of the above boxes have been selected then please contact The Data Protection				
	Officer (Sally Hall) to complete the DPIA.				
Change Beneficiary					
How many market participant or segments stand to benefit from the introduction of the	☐ All industry UK Gas Market participants ☐ Xoserve Only				
change?	☐ One Market Group ☐ One Market Participant				
Primary Impacted DSC Service	Service Area 3: Record/submit Data in Compliance with UNC				
Area	'				
Number of Service Areas	☐ All ☐ Five to Twenty ☐ Two to Five				
Impacted	⊠ One				
Change Improvement Scale?	☐ High ☐ Medium ☒ Low				
How much work would be reduced for the	<u> </u>				



customer if the change is implemented?	following at violatif the observe is not delivered.						
Are any of the following at risk if the change is not delivered?							
	□ Customer(s) incurring financial loss □ Customer Switching at risk						
Are any of the following required if the change is delivered?							
☐ Customer System Changes Required ☐ Customer Testing Likely Required ☐ Customer Training Required							
Known Impact to Systems / Processes							
Primary Application impacted	□BW □ ISU □ CMS						
	│ □ AMT □ EFT □ IX						
	☐ Gemini ☐ Birst ☐ Other (please provide details below)						
Business Process Impact	□AQ □SPA □RGMA						
	Solution So						
Are there any known impacts to							
external services and/or systems	☐ Yes (please provide details below)						
as a result of delivery of this	□ No						
change?	Extension of PAFA service						
Please select customer group(s)							
who would be impacted if the							
change is not delivered.							
	Vorkaround currently in operation?						
Is there a Workaround in	□ Yes						
operation?	⊠ No						
If yes who is accountable for the	□ Xoserve						
workaround?	☐ External Customer						
	☐ Both Xoserve and External Customer						
What is the Frequency of the							
workaround?							
What is the lifespan for the							
workaround?							
What is the number of resource							
effort hours required to service workaround?							
What is the Complexity of the	□ Low (easy, repetitive, quick task, very little risk of human error)						
workaround?	☐ Medium (moderate difficult, requires some form of offline calculation, possible risk of						
33.2 33.3	human error in determining outcome)						
	☐ High (complicate task, time consuming, requires specialist resources, high risk of						
	human error in determining outcome)						
Change Prioritisation Score	32%						

Document Control

Version History

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Summary of Changes
1	Draft	27/04/18	Anesu Chivenga	