

# DSC Change Proposal Document

Customers to fill out all of the information in the sections coloured    
 Xoserve to fill out all of the information in the sections coloured  

## A1: General Details

Change Reference:	XRN5316		
Change Title:	Rejecting a replacement read with a pre-Line in the Sand (LIS) read date		
Date Raised:	19/01/2021		
Sponsor Representative Details:	Organisation:	Xoserve	
	Name:		
	Email:		
	Telephone:		
Xoserve Representative Details:	Name:	James Barlow	
	Email:	James.Barlow@xoserve.com	
	Telephone:	0121 229 2802	
	Business Owner:		
Change Status:	<input type="checkbox"/> Proposal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> With DSG	<input type="checkbox"/> Out for Review
	<input type="checkbox"/> Voting	<input type="checkbox"/> Approved	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejected

## A2: Impacted Parties

Customer Class(es):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Shipper	<input type="checkbox"/> Distribution Network Operator
	<input type="checkbox"/> NG Transmission	<input type="checkbox"/> IGT
	<input type="checkbox"/> All	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <Daily Metered Service Provider (DMSP)>
Justification for Customer Class(es) selection	Change to validation used when validating replacement reads submitted for a date prior to the Code Cut Off Date/Line in the Sand (LIS).	

## A3: Proposer Requirements / Final (redlined) Change

Problem Statement:	<p>Following Nexus, circa 38,000 records have been received to replace a reading with a read date before the LIS date, with 3,000 records being upload to the system. The remaining 35,000 have been rejected due to other read validation failures. However, there is the potential for these records to be accepted following data correction.</p> <p><i>*Please note the above values are taken from profiling carried out in August 2020.</i></p>
--------------------	---

	This inconsistency can result in confusing or unexpected outcomes for Shippers in that reads they expect to be used in downstream processes, such as reconciliation, are not. It should be noted that, although not used in reconciliation, the read can be included within invoice supporting information. This in turn leads to increased contact made to Xserve in order to investigate, or explain, the outcome.	
Change Description:	<p>This change seeks to reject replacement Meter Reads, using an existing rejection code, provided by either the DMSP or Shipper User where the read date is prior to the current LIS date.</p> <p>The LIS date is set each year and estimated reads are generated for 01/04/YYYY where no reading(s) exist for 3 years prior to the new LIS date and no reading exists after this date. Therefore, where there is no estimated or actual LIS read, then the read immediately prior to the LIS date will remain a valid read to be replaced and subject to all other read validations and reconciliation/invoicing processes. In these cases, re-reconciliation will be triggered to correct the post LIS period, only.</p>	
Proposed Release:	Release: Ad hoc	
Proposed Consultation Period:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 10 Working Days	<input type="checkbox"/> 15 Working Days
	<input type="checkbox"/> 20 Working Days	<input type="checkbox"/> Other [Specify Here]

#### A4: Benefits and Justification

Benefit Description:	<p>Increased clarity of data usage to the DMSP and Shipper User and, therefore, reduced customer queries and impact on operation resources</p> <p><i>What, if any, are the tangible benefits of introducing this change? What, if any, are the intangible benefits of introducing this change?</i></p>	
Benefit Realisation:	<p>On implementation</p> <p><i>When are the benefits of the change likely to be realised?</i></p>	
Benefit Dependencies:	<p>None</p> <p><i>Please detail any dependencies that would be outside the scope of the change, this could be reliance on another delivery, reliance on some other event that the projects has not got direct control of.</i></p>	

#### A5: Final Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations – Removed

(see Section C for DSG recommendations)

#### A6: Service Lines and Funding

Service Line(s) Impacted - New or existing	
Level of Impact	Major/ Minor/ Unclear/ None

If None please give justification			
Impacts on UK Link Manual/ Data Permissions Matrix			
Level of Impact	Major/ Minor/ Unclear/ None		
If None please give justification			
Funding Classes :	Customer Classes/ Funding	Delivery of Change	On-going Budget Amendment
	<input type="checkbox"/> Shipper	XX %	XX %
	<input type="checkbox"/> National Grid Transmission	XX %	XX %
	<input type="checkbox"/> Distribution Network Operator	XX %	XX %
	<input type="checkbox"/> IGT	XX %	XX %
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (MTB)	XX %	XX %
ROM or funding details:			
Funding Comments:			

### A7: ChMC Recommendation – Initial Review

Change Status:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Approve	<input type="checkbox"/> Reject	<input type="checkbox"/> Defer
DSC Consultation Issue:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

# Version Control

---

## Document

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Remarks
1.1	Approved	09/06/2022	Molly Haley	Updated with the outcome of ChMC on 8/6/22