

Section C: DSG Discussion

C1: Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations

DSG Date:	23/08/2021		
DSG Summary:	<p>PO gave an overview of the change proposal and the single solution option given. PO explained that, as this is a new service, it has been difficult to analyse how this will impact our systems and processes, so the solution option given is based around how we think the new service will work. Further lower level details of the solution option can be found in the slide deck. PO explained we are not yet at a stage where we can understand high level costs, and this will be confirmed once detailed design has been established. No concerns from DSG on this change at this stage. EL stated that NG host an interface that provide calorific value data that will need to be retained, PO stated we are proposing to retain this service as is (the data needs to be continually provided in some way).</p> <p>No recommendation given as customers were encouraged to respond via the change pack route</p>		
Capture Document / Requirements:	<Insert where appropriate>		
DSG Recommendation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Approve	<input type="checkbox"/> Reject	<input type="checkbox"/> Defer
DSG Recommended Release:	Release: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY		

DSG Date:	25/10/2021		
DSG Summary:	<p>SP presented this agenda item. SP provided a background behind this change. SP advised that there is no proposal or intention to change the SC9 files despite what was raised in H100. Furthermore, within the SC9 file it was noted during the impact assessment that it contains a 'TOD' value. This is effectively a projected -end-of-day estimate of the LDZ CV values and is calculated between 06:00 and 09:00 on the Gas day and is sent to Shippers (SC9) by 11am on that day. SP posed two questions being raised for discussion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do Shippers use the SC9 TOD value in your systems and business processes? 2. What (if any) are the implications for Shippers if TOD is set to a default? <p>No questions were raised from Shippers at this point and SH advised this change pack is out for review and the CDSP encourages DSG members to provide representations. SP also added he would be happy for customers to contact him if they have any questions, before the closeout of the detailed design change pack.</p>		
Capture Document / Requirements:	<Insert where appropriate>		
DSG Recommendation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Approve	<input type="checkbox"/> Reject	<input type="checkbox"/> Defer

DSG Recommended Release:	Release: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY
--------------------------	---

DSG Date:	21/03/2022		
DSG Summary:	PO presented a verbal update on this change. A revised Detailed Design Change Pack has been issued to Focus Group members and is currently out for consultation with those representatives of the FWACV Focus Group.		
Capture Document / Requirements:	<Insert where appropriate>		
DSG Recommendation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Approve	<input type="checkbox"/> Reject	<input type="checkbox"/> Defer
DSG Recommended Release:	Release: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY		

Version Control

Document

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Remarks
1.0	Initial CP	09/12/19	Steve Pownall	High-level business requirements confirmed by Cadent 9/12/19
2.0	Solution Consultation	26/08/2021	Megan Troth	DSG discussion (Section C) added.
3.0	DSG	10/09/2020	Rachel Taggart	Approved into Capture at ChMC on 9th September
4.0	Approved	08/09/2021	Rachel Taggart	Solution Review Change Pack and reps added (August) Section F added Updated with the Solution outcome from ChMC on 11/08/2021
5.0	With DSG	08/11/2021	Chan Singh	CP updated with discussions from DSG 25 th October 2021
6.0	Approved	08/09/2021	Rachel Taggart	Detailed Design Change Pack and reps added (October) Updated with the Design outcome from ChMC on 11/11/2021
7.0	With DSG	04/05/2022	Chan Singh	CP updated with discussions from DSG 21 st March 2022