

DSC Change Proposal Document

Customers to fill out all of the information in the sections coloured

Xoserve to fill out all of the information in the sections coloured

A1: General Details

Change Reference:	XRN 5187		
Change Title:	Modification 0696 - Addressing inequities between Capacity booking under the UNC and arrangements set out in relevant NExAs		
Date Raised:	27/05/2020		
Sponsor Representative Details:	Organisation :	Gazprom Energy	
	Name:	Steve Mulinganie	
	Email:	Steve.Mulinganie@gazprom-energy.com	
	Telephone:	07990 972568	
Xoserve Representative Details:	Name:	Ellie Rogers	
	Email:	ellie.rogers@xoserve.com	
	Telephone:	0121 292 185	
	Business Owner:	TBC	
Change Status:	<input type="checkbox"/> Proposal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> With DSG	<input type="checkbox"/> Out for Review
	<input type="checkbox"/> Voting	<input type="checkbox"/> Approved	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejected

A2: Impacted Parties

Customer Class(es):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Shipper	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Distribution Network Operator
	<input type="checkbox"/> NG Transmission	<input type="checkbox"/> IGT
	<input type="checkbox"/> All	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <Please provide details here>
Justification for Customer Class(es) selection	DNs are the parties which the consumer enters into a bilateral Network Exit Agreement with and therefore are impacted. Shippers may not be party to the contract but will have their PMSOQ capped at the NExA SOQ plus be able to request a capacity correction where they believe they have been affected by the mismatch in NExA and UNC capacity booking processes.	

A3: Proposer Requirements / Final (redlined) Change

<p>Problem Statement:</p>	<p>An inequity has been identified between the arrangements for Capacity as set out in the Network Exit Agreement (NExA).</p> <p>Currently there is a risk that Shippers and Customers could be subject to disadvantages occurring from the inconsistencies in the Capacity Referral process, whereby it is not taking into account the limitations set out by the relevant Transporter in the NExA.</p>	
<p>Change Description:</p>	<p>Modification 0696 has been raised and seeks to address an inequity identified between the arrangements for capacity as set out in the NExA which can be a bilateral agreement between the Transporter and the Consumer or a Tripartite agreement including the Shipper and the UNC which is an agreement between the Shippers and Transporters. It proposes that any new or additional capacity requested for DM Supply Points under the UNC should only take effect from the date set out in the NExA.</p> <p>This Change Proposal has been raised to deliver the system requirements set out within this Modification. <i>Please note Modification 0696 is currently with Ofgem for a final decision on whether it should be implemented.</i></p> <p>In summary, please see at high level what is required by the CDSP to deliver the Modification solution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For NExA sites the PMSOQ should not exceed the NExA SOQ confirmed by the Transporter. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ This requires a data cleanse/migration activity to ensure that no NExA sites have a higher PMSOQ than the NExA SOQ value which will be provided by Transporters. • A manually correction of any capacity charges for sites identified by a Shipper as having been affected by the mismatch in NExA and UNC capacity booking processes will be required. This will be upon instruction of the relevant Transporter and will require a capacity correction. 	
<p>Proposed Release:</p>	<p>Release is TBC however we expect this could be delivered within a Minor Release depending on the impact assessment.</p>	
<p>Proposed Consultation Period:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> 10 Working Days	<input type="checkbox"/> 15 Working Days
	<input type="checkbox"/> 20 Working Days	<input type="checkbox"/> Other [Specify Here]

A4: Benefits and Justification


<p>Benefit Description:</p>	<p>Recovery of costs charged to Shipper(s) due to the inconsistency between the Capacity Referral process and information set out within the NExA. Ensures that Shippers and Customers are not subject to charging risks in future.</p>
-----------------------------	---

	<i>What, if any, are the tangible benefits of introducing this change? What, if any, are the intangible benefits of introducing this change?</i>
Benefit Realisation:	Upon implementation
	<i>When are the benefits of the change likely to be realised?</i>
Benefit Dependencies:	The benefit is dependent on Modification 0696 being approved for the CDSP to deliver this change.
	<i>Please detail any dependencies that would be outside the scope of the change, this could be reliance on another delivery, reliance on some other event that the projects has not got direct control of.</i>

A5: Final Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations – Removed

(see Section C for DSG recommendations)

A6: Service Lines and Funding

Service Line(s) Impacted - New or existing	It is expected that new Service Lines will be required as part of this change.		
Level of Impact	Minor		
If None please give justification	N/A		
Impacts on UK Link Manual/ Data Permissions Matrix	UK Link Manual (Code Communications Document) may need to be updated to reflect the new code communications required to identify and process a capacity correction.		
Level of Impact	Minor		
If None please give justification	N/A		
Funding Classes :	Customer Classes/ Funding	Delivery of Change	On-going Budget Amendment
	<input type="checkbox"/> Shipper	XX %	XX %
	<input type="checkbox"/> National Grid Transmission	XX %	XX %
	<input type="checkbox"/> Distribution Network Operator	XX %	XX %
	<input type="checkbox"/> IGT	XX %	XX %
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <please specify>	XX %	XX %
ROM or funding details:	 <p>ROM Response XRN5096 - Modificati</p> <p>A ROM was produced during the Modification development which indicated that an enduring solution will cost at least £29,000, but probably not more than £47,000 to implement.</p>		

	<p>Please note, the cost provided is an estimate for UK Link functional changes only. This does not include costs for AMT changes or testing (if applicable).</p> <p>For the avoidance of doubt, the costs provided in this ROM are related to requirement 1 only and the associated system changes necessary to deliver this. CDSP manual efforts to deliver requirement 3 are not considered in this ROM.</p>
Funding Comments:	

A7: ChMC Recommendation

Change Status:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Approve	<input type="checkbox"/> Reject	<input type="checkbox"/> Defer
Industry Consultation:	<input type="checkbox"/> 10 Working Days	<input type="checkbox"/> 15 Working Days	
	<input type="checkbox"/> 20 Working Days	<input type="checkbox"/> Other [Specify Here]	
Expected date of receipt for responses (to Xoserve)	XX/XX/XXXX		

DSC Consultation Issue:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
Date Issued:	Click here to enter a date.	
Comms Ref(s):		
Number of Responses:		

A8: DSC Voting Outcome

Solution Voting:	<input type="checkbox"/> Shipper	Please select.	
	<input type="checkbox"/> National Grid Transmission	Please select.	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Distribution Network Operator	Please select.	
	<input type="checkbox"/> IGT	Please select.	
Meeting Date:	Click here to enter a date.		
Release Date:	Release: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY or NA		
Overall Outcome:	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	If [Yes] please specify <Release>