

Section C: DSG Discussion

C1: Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations

(To be removed if no DSG Discussion is required; Xoserve to collate where DSG discussions occur)

DSG Date:	22/02/2021
DSG Summary:	<p>DA presented this agenda item. DA provided an overview and background of the change. DA stated that Xoserve were seeking views of what information customers would like from Xoserve in the event of a single supplier short code to be reassigned to an appointed Supplier of Last Resort (SoLR) rather than each individual supply meter point undergoing a registration event. For example, a change of supplier event.</p> <p>DA asked DSG for any views and requested customers to provide responses. Furthermore, DA stated there were three points Xoserve is considering in order to develop the solution. The following points require customer views:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The introduction of Shipper invoice variances in order to assist the Shipper User to attribute the charges attributable either side of the Change of Supplier as a result of the SoLR within the invoice. This would mean extra lines in the invoice file, e.g. if the SoLR happens on 18th March (then the invoice would have a charge 1/3 - 17/3 for the previous Supplier; and a charge for 18/3 to 31/3 for the new Supplier) Please note we are currently NOT proposing to amend the invoicing formats in order to allow specific differentiation of the Supplier entity. In order to do so, we would need to amend invoice files to include the entity name, and also potentially the Supplier MP Id.<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Note: Users are reminded that any change to Shipper records would impact all Shipper Users, including parties that are unlikely to become the Shipper for a SoLR.• A Supply Meter Point level notification to Networks regarding the entity change of the Market Participant Identity. The existing formats do not currently provide the entity name therefore would need either amendment to existing records or creation of a new record, in an existing file or new file in order to provide such Notification. It is logical to amend the existing record K85 GENERIC ORG NOTIFICATION such that this record performs the logical purpose of such a record, but in doing so this would amend the instances in which this is received by existing Users and would extend the use of this record to notify changes in Supplier User Type. This record is currently not received by Networks.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Note: We had not considered provision of an equivalent notification to Shipper Users. We had anticipated that this would be managed via reporting as an Additional Service. Views are welcomed on this point. ● Amendment of the processes defined in the 'Market Domain Data Market Participant Identity Verification Approach Document'. Currently the process is managed against a published Change Process Cycle. We would welcome User views whether the entity name would need to be updated outside of this cycle, and if so, we would welcome User views on the method of notification of such out of cycle updates. <p>EL stated that her understanding was the Shipper aspect of this change where a supplier id is being reassigned to a different legal entity. If the current supplier wanted to keep the current existing process in place and not inherit that ID and wanted to proceed with changing it across with their own supplier ID, will that process still be in place?</p> <p>DA stated that he will take this away and ask the Ofgem program about this query. DA added that he assumes that Ofgem will want a clean break in specific, a date upon which is cutover.</p> <p>Action: DA to discuss the query with Ofgem raised by EL in regard to the process being retained or mandated.</p>		
Capture Document / Requirements:	<Insert where appropriate>		
DSG Recommendation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Approve	<input type="checkbox"/> Reject	<input type="checkbox"/> Defer
DSG Recommended Release:	Release: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY		

