

# **DSC Change Proposal Document**

Customers to fill out all of the information in the sections coloured 
Xoserve to fill out all of the information in the sections coloured

#### **A1: General Details**

Change Reference:	XRN 5197			
Change Title:	QMP File Validation in CMS – Current Address field			
Date Raised:	10/03/2020			
	Organisation:	Organisation: Indigo Pipelines		
Sponsor	Name:	Cher H	larris	
Representative Details:	Email: Cher.harris@sse.com			
	Telephone:	+44(0)1635 272503		
	Name:	Jane Goodes		
Xoserve	Email:	Jane.goodes@xoserve.com		
Representative Details:	Telephone:	07787 700610		
	Business Owner:	Alex Stuart		
Changa Status	☐ Proposal		⊠ With DSG	☐ Out for Review
Change Status:	□ Voting		☐ Approved	☐ Rejected

## **A2: Impacted Parties**

	⊠ Shipper	☐ Distribution Network Operator		
Customer Class(es):	☐ NG Transmission	⊠ IGT		
	□ All	☐ Other <please details="" here="" provide=""></please>		
Justification for Customer Class(es) selection	Please use this field to explaimpacted	ase use this field to explain how the parties you've selected will be		

## A3: Proposer Requirements / Final (redlined) Change

Problem Statement	Current validation of the QMP file in CMS causes the system to reject QMP files and so prevents plot to postal address updates. In addition there is no notification sent to IGT's to inform them that the file has
Troblom Glatomoria	been rejected, it just sits in a pending state which impacts process
	and adds additional work for IGTs to track the progress of site
	amendments.



Change Description:	'switch off' the validation of current address in CMS to prevent the rejection of plot to postal address updates.			
Proposed Release:	Release: Feb/Jun/Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY			
Proposed	☐ 10 Working Days	☐ 15 Working Days		
Consultation Period:	☐ 20 Working Days	☐ Other [Specify Here]		

#### A4: Benefits and Justification

Benefit Description:	The current validation adds no value to the process and is actually preventing genuine Plot to Postal updates from being accepted, which runs counter to all industry initiatives to improve address data quality. The validation process was only designed for 'postal to postal' updates and is not fit for purpose for 'Plot to Postal'. IGTs work primarily in the new build market so send high volumes of plot address updates, which are currently failing to update, not due to any fault with data but due to inappropriate validation.  By removing validation of the current address, higher volumes of IGT address updates will be loaded. This will improve address data quality to the benefit of all industry parties. IGTs will be better able to track update responses and so deal with genuine rejections in a timely manner.  What, if any, are the tangible benefits of introducing this change? What, if any, are the intangible benefits of introducing this change?
Benefit Realisation:	Immediately upon implementation  When are the benefits of the change likely to be realised?
Benefit Dependencies:	N/A  Please detail any dependencies that would be outside the scope of the change, this could be reliance on another delivery, reliance on some other event that the projects has not got direct control of.

# A5: Final Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations – Removed

(see Section C for DSG recommendations)

# **A6: Service Lines and Funding**

Service Line(s)	
Impacted - New or	Service Area 21: Data flows and services to Network Operators
existing	
Level of Impact	Major/ Minor/ Unclear/ None



If None please give justification							
Impacts on UK Link Manual/ Data Permissions Matrix	TBC						
Level of Impact	Major/ Minor/ Unclear/	None					
If None please give justification							
journount	Customer Classes/ Funding Del Cha			ry of le	On-going Budget Amendment		
	☐ Shipper X		XX %		XX %		
Funding Classes	☐ National Grid Trans	mission	XX %		XX %		
:	☐ Distribution Network	Operator	XX %		XX %		
	⊠ IGT		100%		XX %		
	☐ Other <please spec<="" td=""><td>ify&gt;</td><td>XX %</td><td></td><td>XX %</td></please>	ify>	XX %		XX %		
ROM or funding details:							
Funding Comments:							
A7: ChMC Reco	mmendation						
Change Status:		□ Reject	T	☐ Defer			
Industry	☐ 10 Working Days	□ 15 V	Vorking	Days			
Consultation:	☐ 20 Working Days ☐ Other [Specify Here]			ify Here]			
Expected date of receipt for responses (to Xoserve)	XX/XX/XXXX						
	, ·						
DSC Consultation Issue:	□ Yes □ No						
Date Issued:	Click here to enter a date.						
Comms Ref(s):							
Number of Responses:							
A8: DSC Voting Outcome							
3	☐ Shipper Please select.			elect.			
Solution Voting:	☐ National Grid Trans	mission	F	Please select.			
	☐ Distribution Network Operator Please select.			elect.			



	□ IGT		Please select.	
Meeting Date:	Click here to enter a date.			
Release Date:	Release: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY or NA			
Overall Outcome:	□ No	☐ Yes	If [Yes] please specify <release></release>	

Please send the completed forms to:  $\underline{box.xoserve.portfoliooffice@xoserve.com}$ 



# **Version Control**

#### **Document**

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Remarks
1.0	Approved	24/6/20	Jane Goodes	Approved at IGT Constituency
2.0	With DSG	09/07/2020	Rachel Taggart	Updated with the outcome from ChMC on 08/07/2020