

Change Proposal

Extension of 'Must Read' process to include Annual Read sites

THIS CHANGE PROPOSAL HAS BEEN WITHDRAWN. Mod reference (where applicable): CDSP Reference:XRN4044

Document Stage	Version	Date	Author	Status
ROM Request / Change Proposal	0.1	17/11/2017	Andy Clasper	Draft
ROM Response				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome	1.0	10/01/2018	Xoserve	Approved by Change Committee
EQR				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.
BER				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.
CCR				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.



Document Purpose

This document is intended to provide a single view of a change as it moves through the change journey. The document is constructed in a way that enables each section to build upon the details entered in the preceding section. The level of detail is built up in an incremental manner as the project progresses.

The template is aligned to the Change Management Procedures, as defined in the CDSP Service Document. The template is designed to remove the need for duplication of information. Where information is required in one section but has been previously captured in a previous section, the previous section will be referenced.

The summary table on the front page shows the history and the current status of the Change Proposal.

Section	Title	Responsibility
1	Proposed Change	Proposer / Mod Panel
2	ROM Request / Change Proposal	Proposer / Mod Panel
3	ROM Request Rejection	CDSP
4	Rough Order of Magnitude (ROM) Analysis	CDSP
5	Change Proposal: Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee
6	EQR: Change Proposal Rejection	CDSP
7	Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Notification of delivery date	CDSP
8	Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR)	CDSP
9	Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee
10	Business Evaluation Report (BER)	CDSP
11	Business Evaluation Report (BER): Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee
12	Change Completion Report (CCR)	CDSP
13	Change Completion Report (CCR): Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee
14	Document Template Version History	CDSP
Appendix	<u></u>	
A1	Glossary of Key Terms	N/A



Section 1: Proposed Change

Please complete section 1 and 2 and specify within section 2 the output that is required from the CDSP

Originator Details						
Submitted By	Andy Clasper	Contact Number	07884 113385			
		Email Address	Andy.clasper@nationalgrid.com			
Customer	Rachel Hinsley	Contact Number	0121 623 2854			
Representative		Email Address	Rachel.hinsley@xoserve.com			
Subject Matter		Contact Number				
Expert/Network Lead		Email Address				
Customer Class	☐ Shipper					
	☐ National Grid	Transmission				
	□ Distribution Note □ Distribution Note	etwork Operator				
	□iGT					
	Overview of proposed change					
Change Details	We have indicated to Shippers (at Distribution Workgroup) that we intend to begin carrying out must reads at Annual Read sites with an aspiration to begin the process during Aug/Sep 2016. The proposed change supports both Ofgem and The CMAs aspirations. Xoserve currently carry out a Must Read process covering all Monthly Read Sites. We are requesting extending the service to include Annual Read sites. The main difference between the two services will be regarding notification periods. National Grid presented the proposed process to Distribution Workgroup in January 2016. The attached presentation outlines the timeline (slide 4) which we would like to instigate. Must read process - proposed.pdf http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/sites/default/files/Response%20to%20Action%20120 2.pdf					
Reason(s) for proposed service change	The change required is an extension to the current 'Must Read' process to include Annual Read Meters. The process will be exactly the same apart from differences in notification timelines. We understand that currently Xoserve notifies Shippers 24 months after the last read that another read is due. We would like to provide an additional notification to Shippers 32 months after the last meter read date which will give Shippers a 1 month window to complete. Transporters will carry out Meter Reading activity between 33 months and 36 months after the last Valid Meter Reading and provide the Valid Meter Reading to Xoserve as per the current 'Must Read' process. All Shipper billing activities should be consistent with the current process.					



Status of related UNC Mod	N/A
Full title of related UNC Mod	N/A
Benefits of change	
Required Change Implementation Date	To be decided at Change Managers Committee
Please provide an assessment of	□High
the priority of this change from the	□Medium ⊠Low
perspective of the industry.	Rationale for assessment:



Section 2: Initial Assessment / ROM Request / Change Proposal

Service Level of	Evaluation Services		
Quote/Estimate Robustness Requested	□Initial Ass	sessment (Mod related changes only)	
	⊠ROM esti	imate for Analysis and Delivery	
	CDSP Cha	nge Services	
	□Firm Quo	te for Analysis	
	□Firm Quote for both Analysis and Delivery		
Has any initial assessment	□Yes		
been performed in support of this change?	⊠No		
Is this considered to be a Priority	/ Service	□Yes (Mod Related)	
Change?		□Yes (Legislation Change Related)	
		⊠No	
Is this change considered to relate to a 'restricted class' of customers?			
Consider if the particular change is		□No	
to impact those who fall under a particular customer class			
If it impacts all customer classes (i.e. Transmission, Distribution & Shippers) then choose 'No'.		□Shippers	
		□National Grid Transmission	
		⊠ Distribution Network Operators	
		□iGT's	
Is it anticipated that the change would have an adverse impact on customers of any		□Yes (please give details)	
other customer classes?	or uny	⊠No	
Places refer to appendix one for the	o definition		
Please refer to appendix one for the definition of an 'adverse impact'			
General Service Changes Only (olease ensui	re that either A or B below is completed)	
A) Customer view of impacted service area(s) For a definition of the Service Areas, please see the 'Charge Base Apportionment Table' within the <u>Budget and Charging Methodology</u> . Please indicate the service area(s) that are understood to be impacted by the change. Please enter 'unknown' if relevant. Where the change is likely to impact more than one service area please indicate the percentage split of the impact across the impacted service areas. For example if it is split equally across two service areas then enter 50% in the 'split' against each service area.			
B) If the change is anticipated to require the creation of a new service area and service line please give further details stating proposed name of new service area and title of service line:			



Specific Service Changes Only:

Please detail the proposed methodology (or amendment to the existing methodology) for determining Specific Service Change Charges.

Please detail the proposed basis (that is, Charging Measure and Charging Period) for determining Specific Service Change Charges in respect of the Specific Service.

Impacts to UKLink System or File Formats

This service is an extension to the current 'Must Read' process which Xoserve carries out for Monthly Read Meters. If that process impacts UK Link or File Formats then the extension to the service could have an additional impact.

Impacts UKL Manual Appendix 5b

Not known

Impacts	to Gemini	i Svstem
----------------	-----------	----------

Please give any other relevant information.

Recipient	Email
Xoserve Portfolio Office	changeorders@xoserve.com
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 3: ROM Request Acceptance

Is there sufficient detail within the ROM Request to enable a ROM Analysis to be produced?	□Yes □No
If no, please define the additional details that are required.	

If the ROM Request is not accepted. Please forward this document to the Portfolio Office for onward transmission to the Change Management Committee



Section 4: ROM Analysis

This ROM is Xoserve's response to the above Evaluation Service Request. The response is intended to support customer involvement in the development of industry changes.

Should the request obtain approval for continuance then a Change Proposal must be raised for any further analysis / development.

Disclaimer:

This ROM Analysis has been prepared in good faith by Xoserve Limited but by its very nature is only able to contain indicative information and estimates (including without limitation those of time, resource and cost) based on the circumstances known to Xoserve at the time of its preparation. Xoserve accordingly makes no representations of accuracy or completeness and any representations as may be implied are expressly excluded (except always for fraudulent misrepresentation).

Where Xoserve becomes aware of any inaccuracies or omissions in, or updates required to, this Report it shall notify the Network Operators' Representative as soon as reasonably practicable but Xoserve shall have no liability in respect of any such inaccuracy or omission and any such liability as may be implied by law or otherwise is expressly excluded.

This Report does not, and is not intended to; create any contractual or other legal obligation on Xoserve.

© 2017 Xoserve Ltd

All rights reserved.

ROM Analysis

Change Assessment

High level indicative assessment of the change on the CDSP service description, on UKLink and any alternative options if applicable

Change Impact:

Initial assessment of whether the service change is / would have:

- a restricted class change,
- · a priority service change
- an adverse impact on any customer classes

Change Costs (implementation):

An approximate estimate of the costs (or range of costs) where options are identified

Change Costs (on-going):

The approximate estimate of the impact of the service change on service charges

Timescales:

Details of timescale for the change i.e. 3months etc.

Details of when Xoserve could start this change i.e. the earliest is release X.

Assumptions:

Any key assumptions that have been made by Xoserve when providing the cost and or timescale

Dependencies:

Any material dependencies of the implementation on any other service changes



Constraints:

Any key constraints that are expected to impact the delivery of the service change

Recipient	Email	
Xoserve Portfolio Office	changeorders@xoserve.com	
Requesting Party	As specified in ROM Request	



Section 5: Change Proposal: Committee Outcome

The Change Proposal is approved. An EQR is requested	Yes		
Approved Change Proposal version	NA		
The change proposal shall not proceed	NA		
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the Change Proposal until a later meeting	NA	Date of later meeting	
The committee requires the proposer to make updates to the Change Proposal:	NA		
Updates required:			



Section 6: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Change Proposal Rejection

Change Proposal Rejection				
	Yes		No	Is there sufficient detail within the Change Proposal to enable an EQR to be produced? If no, please provide further details below.
Furth	ner deta	ails red	quired:	

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 7: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Notification of Delivery Date

Notification of EQR Delivery Date		
Original EQR delivery date:		
Revised EQR delivery date:		
Rationale for revision of delivery date:		

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 8: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR)

Project Manager		Contact Nur	mber	
		Email Addre	ess	
Project Lead		Contact Nur	mber	
		Email Addre	ess	
Please provide an indicative assessment of the impact of the proposed change on: i. CDSP Service Description ii. CDSP Systems Approximate timescale for delivery of 'business evaluation report' (N.b this is from the date on which the EQR is approved.) Estimated cost of business evaluation report preparation				
	sed as a range of cos cobably not more thar			
Does the CDSP agree with the 'Restricted class change' assessment (where provided)? Please refer to detail provided in the Change Proposal		□Yes □No (p	s (please give detail below)	
Does the CDSP agree with the 'Adverse Impact' assessment (where provided)? Please refer to detail provided in the Change Proposal		□Yes □No (p	s (please give detail below)	
Does the CDSP agree with the 'Priority Service Change' assessment (where provided)? Please refer to detail provided in the Change Proposal		□Yes □No (please give detail below)		
General service c	General service changes			
Does the CDSP agree with the assessment made in the Change Proposal regarding impacted service areas? This should refer to whether the proposing party		☐Yes ☐No (please give detail below)		



considers the service change to relate to an existing service area or whether is constitutes a new service area.	
Specific service changes	
Does the CDSP agree with the proposal made in the Change Proposal regarding specific change charges? This should refer to the proposed methodology (or amendment to existing methodology) for determining the specific service charges and the proposed basis for determining the specific service change charges.	□Yes □No (please give detail below)
Please provide a draft amendment of the Specific Service Change Charge Annex setting out the methodology for determining Specific Service Change Charges proposed in the Change Proposal	
EQR validity period:	

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 9: Evaluation Quotation Report: Committee Outcome

The EQR is approved				
Approved EQR version				
The Change Proposal shall not proceed. The Change Proposal and this EQR shall lapse				
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the EQR until a later meeting			Date of later meeting	
The committee requires updates to the EQR:				
Updates required:				
General service changes only (The detail upon which the response w commented upon in the subsequent EC		based is originally defined in t	he change proposa	l and potentially
Does the committee agree with the assessment of the service area(s) to which the service lin belongs and the weighting of the impact?	ie	□ Yes □No		
If no, please enter the agreed service area(s) and the weighting:				
Specific service changes only (The detail upon which the response w potentially commented upon in the sub	sequ		he Change Propos	al and
Please confirm the methodolog for the determination of Specifi Service Change charges				
Please confirm the charging measure and charging period f the determination of Specific Service Change charges	for			_



Section 10: Business Evaluation Report (BER)

Change Implementation Detail			
1.) Detail changes required to the CDSP Service Description			
2.) Detail modifications required to UK Link			
2./ Dotail Medillodione required to err Elling			
3.) Detail changes required to appendix 5b of the UK Link Manual			
4.) Detail impact on operating procedures and resources of the CDSP			
5.) Implementation Plan			
5.) Implementation Flan			
6.) Estimated implementation costs			
6a.) How will the charging for the costs be allocated to different customer classes? (General Service Changes only)			
Please mark % against each customer class:			
National Grid Transmission			
Distribution Network Operators and IGT's			
DN Operator			
IGT's			
Shippers			
<u>100%</u>			
7.) Estimated impact of the service change on service charges			
8.) Please detail any pre-requisite activities that must be completed by the customer prior to receiving or being able to request the service.			
able to request the service.			
Implementation Options			
Please provide details on any alternative solution/implementation options:			
This should include:			
(i) a description of each Implementation Option;			
(ii) the advantages and disadvantages of each option (iii) the CDSP preferred Implementation Option			
(,) - c. Freezen arministration of the control of the con			



Restricted Class Changes only
Is there any change in the view of the CDSP on whether there would be an 'Adverse Impact' on customers
outside the relevant customer class(es)?
☐Yes (please give detail below)
□No
Dependencies:
Dependencies.
Operatural inter-
Constraints:
Benefits:
Impacts:
Risks:



Assumptions:
Information Security:
Out of scope:
Please provide any additional information relevant to the proposed service change:

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 11: Business Evaluation Report: Committee Outcome

The BER is approved and the change can proceed				
Modification Changes Only Please ensure that the Transporters are formally informed of the Target Implementation Date				
Approved BER version				
The change proposal shall not proceed and the BER shall lapse				
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the BER until a later meeting		Date of later meeting		
The committee requires updates to the BER:				
Updates required:				



Section 12: Change Completion Report (CCR)

Change Overview			
Please include detail on the following for the chosen implementation option: modifications to UKLink, impact on operating procedures and resources of the CDSP. Actions required of the customer prior to the commencement date			
Please detail any differences between the solution that was implemented and what was defined in the BER.			
Detail the revised text of the CDSP Service Description	n reflecting the change that has been made		
Were there any revisions to the text of the UK Link Ma	nual?		
☐Yes (please insert the revised text of the UK Link m	anual halow)		
□No	andar below)		
Proposed	Actual		
Commencement Date	Commencement Date		
Please provide an explanation of any variance			
Please detail the main lessons learned from the project			



Service change costs				
Approved Costs (£)		Actual Costs (£)		
Reasons for variance between approved and actual costs:				

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 13: Change Completion Report: Committee Outcome

The implementation is complete and the CCR is approved	
Approved CCR version	
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the CCR until a later meeting	Date of later meeting:
The committee requires further information	
Further information required:	
The committee considers that the implementation is not complete	
Further action(s) required:	
The proposed changes to the CDSP Service Description or UK Link Manual are not correct	
Amendments to CDSP service description / UKLink ma	nual required:



Section 14: Document Template Version History

The purpose of this section is to keep a record of the changes to the overall version template and the individual sections within. It will be updated by the CDSP following approval of the template update by the Change Management Committee.

Version History:

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Summary of Changes
1.0	Approved		CDSP	Version Approved by Change Committee

--- END OF DOCUMENT ---



Appendix One: Glossary

Term	Definition
Adverse Impact	A Service Change has or would have an Adverse Impact on Customers of a particular
	Customer Class if:
	(a) Implementing the Service Change would involve a modification of UK Link which
	would conflict with the provision of existing Services for which such Customer Class is a
	Relevant Customer Class;
	(b) the Service Change would involve the CDSP disclosing Confidential Information
	relating to such Customers to Customers of another Customer Class or to Third Parties;
	(c) Implementing the Service Change would conflict to a material extent with the
	Implementation of another Service Change (for which such Customer Class is a
	Relevant Customer Class) with an earlier Proposal Date and which remains Current,
	unless the Service Change is a Priority Service Change which (under the Priority
	Principles) takes priority over the other Proposed Service Change; or
	(d) Implementing the Service Change would have an Adverse Interface Impact for such
	Customers.
General Service	A service provided under the DSC to Customers or Customers of a Customer Class on
	a uniform basis.
Non-Priority	A Service Change which is not a Priority Service Change
Service Change	
Priority Service	A Modification Service Change;
Change	or
	A Service Change in respect of a Service which allows or facilitates compliance by a
	Customer or Customers with Law or with any document designated for the purposes of
	Section 173 of the Energy Act 2004 (including any such Law or document or change
	thereto which has been announced but not yet made).
Relevant	A Customer Class is a Relevant Customer Class in relation to a Service or a Service
Customer class	Change where Service Charges made or to be made in respect of such Service, or the
	Service subject to such Service Change, are or will be payable by Customers of that
	Customer Class
Restricted Class	Where, in relation to a Service Change, not all Customer Classes are Relevant
Change	Customer Classes, the Service Change is a Restricted Class Change ;
Service Change	A change to a Service provided under the DSC (not being an Additional Service),
	including: (i) the addition of a new Service or removal of an existing Service; and
	(ii) in the case of an existing Service, a change in any feature of the Service specified in
	the CDSP Service Description,
	and any related change to the CDSP Service Description
Specific Service	A service (other than Additional Services) available under the DSC to all Customer or
	Customers of a Customer Class but provided to a particular Customer only upon the
	order of the Customer.



Appendix Two: Consultation Responses

As per the request at the Change Management Committee meeting on the 13th December 2017 this change was issued for consultation across the industry. The consultation closed on the 28th December 2017. The comments received will form the basis of discussion at the Change Management Committee on 10th January 2018 to approve or reject the change.

The following two comments were received:

User	User Name Date User Comments		
USEI	INAIIIC	Date	USEI COMMENTS
Scottish Power	Shane Preston	27/12/17	Although this is marked as a restricted class to DNO's, it states that "All Shipper billing activities should be consistent with the current process." This intimates that there could be impact on other classes; therefore this should be opened up to all potential affected classes. Also with the use of the word "should", this is ambiguous and needs clarity for transparency to the billing process which could have a material impact.
NPower	Maitrayee Bhowmick- Jewkes	28/12/17	We recognise that code already dictates that transporters can obtain a must read on any NDM meter point if sufficient time elapses between successful valid read submission, but up until now they have only done so on MPRNs with a Monthly MRF. We can see the benefits of this change (more valid reads entering settlement) and support it as such. However, we would like some clarification as to why reads obtained by transporters are significantly more expensive than 'regular' cyclic reads (the costs shippers will pay will of course vary in correlation with individual contracts). Is the inflated cost due intended as a penalty? If so is this appropriate if rolling out to largely domestic meter points (as opposed to largely I&C ones that are monthly read). Also, what other information could be provided via the must read process which might prevent a future delay in read procurement (i.e. what did Cadent do that hadn't been done already in the cyclic read process?). If improvements could be made as part of this change coming into effect, we would fully support this. Finally, does Cadent intend to start immediately attempting to gain must reads on any meter point that hasn't been read for 33 months, or start from scratch when the change goes live? It would also be helpful to know how we would get notified of these sites, as in the past this was done via email or spreadsheets. We are also interested in understanding the crossover, if any, with the MIN.M96 (Meter Inspection Notice). In the case of no reads being received and Cadent carrying out meter reading activity to report to Xoserve, will the time frame run from the date of implementation, or will it be applied retrospectively, meaning that we could be set with a significant charge for all sites greater than 36 months from day 1?