

# **DSC Change Proposal**

Change Reference Number: XRN4747

Customers to fill out all of the information in this colour Xoserve to fill out all of the information in this colour

Section A1: General Details				
Change Title	Smart Meter Upgrade Notification Report			
Date Raised	24/08/2018			
Sponsor Organisation	Npower			
Sponsor Name	James Rigby			
Sponsor Contact Details	James.Rigby@npower.com			
Xoserve Contact Name	Paul Orsler			
Xoserve Contact Details	Paul.Orsler@Xoserve.com			
Change Status	Proposal / With DSG / Out for review / Voting / Approved or Rejected			
Section A2: Impacted Parties				
Customer Class(es)	⊠ Shipper			
	National Grid Transmission			
	Distribution Network Operator			
	🗆 IGT			
Section A3: Proposer Requirements / Final (redlined) Change				

#### WHAT

When a change of Supplier takes place the Shipper that installs the Smart Meter remains responsible for the Smart meter. Any new Suppliers will therefore need to be informed of the outcomes when a smart meter is upgraded.

Previously Npower submitted an ASR which requested a report to confirm the current Supplier for sites with successful and unsuccessful smart meter upgrades that are no longer in their ownership. It was found that this report had been requested by several other Shippers which highlighted that it may be an industry requirement.

This change proposes that a Shipper organisation provides the CDSP with a list of sites which they want to report on the smart meter upgrades. The reports provided to the CDSP will include MPRN and MSN reference and potentially any extraordinary queries (erroneous transfers etc.)

On receipt of the Shipper list, the CDSP will send out an e-mail to the relevant contract managers for each Supplier notifying them of the changes (successful and failed upgrades).

An alternative solution option may be established through the development of this change within DSG.

Proposed Release (Feb/Jun/Nov/Minor)	NA – to be determined following the solution review	
Proposed Consultation Period	⊠ 10 Working Days	
	□ 20 Working Days	
	□ 30 Working days	
	Other:	

**XX**Serve

Section A4: Benefits and Justific	ation					
Benefit Description		The industry need to inform suppliers of successful				
What, if any, are the tangible benefits of introducing this change?		and unsuccessful smart meter upgrades, where				
What, if any, are the intangible benefits of introducing this		they have lost ownership of the MPRN they do not				
change?		know the current supplier.				
Benefit Realisation		Immediately upon delivery				
When are the benefits of the change likely to be realised?						
Benefit Dependencies		None				
Please detail any dependencies that would						
of the change, this could be reliance on and on some other event that the projects has n						
of.	or got an oot control					
Section A5: Final Delivery Sub-G	roup (DSG) Recor	mmendations				
Until a final decision is achieved, please ref						
Final DSG Recommendation	Approve / Reject					
DSG Recommended Release	Release X: Feb	Jun/Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY				
Section A6: Funding						
Funding Classes	⊠ Shipper	100%				
		rid Transmission XX%				
		Network Operator XX%				
	IGT	XX%				
Service Line(s)	Service Area 18: Provision of user reports					
	and information					
ROM or funding details						
Funding Comments	This will be 100% Shipper funded as only Shippers benefit from the					
	report.					
Section A7: ChMC Recommenda						
Change Status	Approve – Issue to DSG					
	☐ Defer – Issue for review*1					
	Reject					
	*1At the ChMC	meeting on 10 <sup>th</sup> October				
	*2 At the ChMC	c meeting on 7 <sup>th</sup> November following the completion of				
	the initial review					
Industry Consultation	⊠ 10 Working Days					
	$\Box$ 20 Working Days					
		$\Box$ 30 Working days				
	Other:					
Expected date of receipt for						
responses (to Xoserve)	26/10/2018					
DSC Consultation						
	⊠ Yes					
Issued						
	-	C on 10 <sup>th</sup> October Initial Review (Section R)				
Date Issued	Following ChMC on 10 <sup>th</sup> October. Initial Review (Section B)					
	12/10/2018					
Comms Ref(s)	2102.4 – RJ – ES					
	Number of Responses 1					
Section A8: DSC Voting Outcome						
Solution Voting	Shipper Approve / Reject / NA / Abstain					
	□ National Grid Transmission Approve / Reject / NA / Abstain					
	Distribution Network Operator Approve / Reject / NA / Abstain					
	□ IGT Approve / Reject / NA / Abstain					
Meeting Date						
Release Date		/ Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY or NA				
Overall Outcome						
Dverall Outcome         Approved for Release X / Rejected           Please send the completed forms to: box.xoserve.portfoliooffice@xoserve.com						

Please send the completed forms to: <u>box.xoserve.portfoliooffice@xoserve.com</u>

**XX**Serve

# Section B: DSC Change Proposal: Initial Review (to be removed if no consultation is required; or alternatively collated post consultation)

User Name	Eleanor Laurence			
User Contact Details				
	ustry Consultation (based on above change proposal)			
1. Do you think the	e change proposed poses a material risk/cost to your organisation and / or the e can you provide the rationale for your response			
We do not believe that th	he change proposed poses a risk or cost to our organisation, or to the market.			
	e change proposed will benefit your organisation and / or the market? Please antifiable outputs as well as any assumptions.			
current Supplier, who wi targets. This will prevent not been identified as su This change will also hell reflect that these meters	meters that have been upgraded to be SMETS compliant to be identified to the ill then be able to count them as compliant smart meters in regards to their rollout t Suppliers from needlessly replacing meters which are compliant, but which have uch, in order to comply with their smart metering rollout obligations. If to ensure that metering data will be updated (by the current Supplier/Shipper) to are SMETS compliant. This will support an effective CoS process, and will also olment and adoption of these meters into DCC services.			
to be implement	y functional changes as a result of this change, would your organisation support this ted within a minor release as proposed? Based on your answer how long a lead organisation require to implement this change (for example minimum of 4 months, nonths)			
result of this change. W updates to data in our sy	any significant functional changes would be required within our organisation as a /e will need to convert data to a format we can use in tools we have to make such ystems in conjunction with our MAM. However, we feel that this would need to be tot require any real lead time.			
4. Do you agree wi	ith the funding principles set out in section A6?			
No comments.				
Change Proposal in pr	rinciple Approve			
Publication of consulta				

**X** Serve

## Section C: DSC Change Proposal: DSG Discussion

(To be removed if no DSG Discussion is required; Xoserve to collate where DSG discussions occur)

# Section C1: Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations DSG Date 01/10/2018

DSG Date 01/10/20

## **DSG Summary**

RH explained that this was originally raised by Npower as individual report request, but other Shippers had already requested a similar service. It was therefore sensible to transfer the original request, from Npower, to a Change Proposal and implement the solution across the whole industry.

RH explained the purpose of the requested report: a commercial report to be run in November 2018 that will confirm the current Supplier for sites with successful and unsuccessful smart meter upgrades that are no longer in Shipper's ownership.

RH asked DSG for any feedback regarding the feasibility of the change before it progresses to ChMC on 10<sup>th</sup> October for approval; DSG did not provide any comments. ES predicted that ChMC will ask for this change to be issued for initial consultation.

Capture Document / Requirements	N/A
DSG Recommendation	N/A
DSG Recommended Release	N/A

G Da	ate 19/11/2018
	ummary
	Paul Orsler (PO) presented slide 37 and the Change Proposal for this change to DSG. Following ChMC in November, this change was approved to proceed to DSG.
	In the October ChMC meeting, this change was deferred and was subsequently sent out for an Initial Review Change Pack with the industry.
	PO explained that this change was originally an Additional Service Request raised for the benefit Npower only. Xoserve identified that other parties could benefit from this change and has therefor been transformed into a Change Proposal.
	PO explained the purpose of the Change Proposal: to enable the incoming Supplier to use Xoser to notify the existing Supplier for a Supply Meter Point of an upgrade to the Smart Meter at a premise. The change is understood to benefit Suppliers as part of their Smart Meter Programme, as installing Suppliers are responsible for performing upgrades on any Smart meters they had installed, and notifying the current Supplier where such activity has been undertaken.
	At this point, David Addison (DA) explained a temporary SPAA Schedule is in place for a very similar purpose (allowing installing Suppliers to notify current Suppliers of Smart Meter System Operators details); It was explained that this Schedule wasn't fully utilised, with there being little uptake from the market.
	PO asked DSG whether they understood the purpose of the change, and whether there were any additional requirements that they would like to consider. PO explained that in order to provide Solution Options that were fit for purpose, greater understanding of the change requirements is needed.
	Action 1117: Xoserve to work with the Change Proposer (Npower), to refine requirements and bring these back to DSG for discussion.
Appr	ovedV3.0
- P.	

supplier. Further detail is Change duplicates any e PO presented the Apper However, the following o therefore change: - Shipper - This doe - The Cha - Custom - Custom - Primary - Busines The updated Change Pri documentation section o SH questioned whether system instead. PO and Action 1118: Paul Orsite	PRN and Meter Serial Number could be used to notify the existing a needed on frequency, scheduling, monitoring uptake, and whether this existing SPAA scheduling. Indix One for this Change Proposal, and the prioritisation score of 41%. The Appendix One were agreed, and the prioritisation score will Impacted and Xoserve Impacted Change es involve the processing of personal data ange Beneficiary is one Market Group er system changes are required application impacted is BW s Process impacted is SPA oposal will be published on the Joint Office website, and the DSG meeting f Xoserve.com, by next week. a report is required, and suggested whether it can be a notification on the Npower agreed to meet to discuss the requirements. er and Npower to meet to discuss requirements and solutions for the <b>Upgrade Notification Report</b>
Capture Document / Requirements	N/A
DSG Recommendation	N/A
DSG Recommended Release	N/A

**X** Serve

DSG Date	Section C3: Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations			
	17 <sup>th</sup> December 2018			
DSG Summary				
PO explained that Npower approached Xoserve several months ago with the view of procuring this particular service. It was later suggested that other customers may benefit from this change so it was recommended a Change Proposal was raised. However, Npower and British Gas (who require the equivalent service) identified a need to progress these services urgently to support Smart Meter Programme activities, In order to take these services forward it has been confirmed that these should be undertaken under commercial arrangements. As a consequence, XRN4747 will now be moved out of the Change Proposal process. To ensure we adopt a consist approach going forward we would like to advise any other customer that requires this service to contact our Commercial Services team -				
,				
commercial.enquiries@xoserve				
commercial.enquiries@xoserve. Capture Document / Requirements	.com N/A			
Capture Document / Requirements DSG Recommendation	. <u>com</u>			
commercial.enquiries@xoserve. Capture Document / Requirements	. <u>com</u> N/A			

**XX**Serve

Section C2, Delivery Sub Crown (DSC) D

# **Appendix 1**

### **Change Prioritisation Variables**

Xoserve uses the following variables set for each and every change within the Xoserve Change Register, to derive the indicative benefit prioritisation score, which will be used in conjunction with the perceived delivery effort to aid conversations at the DSC ChMC and DSC Delivery Sub Groups to prioritise changes into all future minor and major releases.

Change Driver Type	CMA Order  MOD / Ofgem				
	EU Legislation     License Condition				
	□ BEIS □ ChMC endorsed Change Proposal				
	□ SPAA Change Proposal ⊠ Additional or 3 <sup>rd</sup> Party Service Request				
	□ Other(please provide details below)				
Please select the customer	Shipper Impact				
group(s) who would be impacted					
if the change is not delivered					
Associated Change reference	N/A				
Number(s)	N/A				
Associated MOD Number(s) Perceived delivery effort	N/A				
Perceived delivery enon	$\boxtimes 0 - 30 \qquad \Box 30 - 60$				
	□ 60 – 100 □ 100+ days				
Does the project involve the	imes Yes (If yes please answer the next question)				
processing of personal data? 'Any information relating to an identifiable	□ No				
person who can be directly or indirectly					
identified in particular by reference to an identifier' – includes MPRNS.					
A Data Protection Impact	☑ New technology □ Vulnerable customer data □ Theft of Gas				
Assessment (DPIA) will be	□ Mass data □ Xoserve employee data				
required if the delivery of the	□ Fundamental changes to Xoserve business				
change involves the processing of	$\boxtimes$ Other				
personal data in any of the	MPRN would be provided by the installing supplier to the CDSP who in turn will share that				
following scenarios:	information with the registered supplier.				
Change Beneficiary	Multiple Market Participants     Multiple Market Group				
How many market participant or segments	□ All industry UK Gas Market participants □ Xoserve Only				
stand to benefit from the introduction of the change?	☐ One Market Group				
Primary Impacted DSC Service	Service Area 24: Additional Service Request or Third Party				
Area	Request				
Number of Service Areas	□ All □ Five to Twenty □ Two to Five				
Impacted	⊠ One				
Change Improvement Scale?	🗆 High 🛛 Medium 🗆 Low				
How much work would be reduced for the	5				
customer if the change is implemented? Are any of the	following at risk if the change is not delivered?				
	$\boxtimes$ Customer(s) incurring financial loss $\square$ Customer Switching at risk				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	e following required if the change is delivered?				
	ed Customer Testing Likely Required Customer Training Required				
Known Impact to Systems / Processes					
Primary Application impacted					

**X** Serve

	□ AMT	🗆 EFT			
	🗆 Gemini	□ Birst	🛛 Othe	er (please provide details below)	
Business Process Impact	□AQ	$\boxtimes$	SPA		
•	□Reads		Portal		
	$\Box$ Other (please pl				
Are there any known impacts to	Yes (please pr				
external services and/or systems			)		
as a result of delivery of this					
change?	🗆 No				
Please select customer group(s)	🛛 Shipper impa	ct	□ Network	impact 🛛 🗆 iGT impact	
who would be impacted if the	☐ Xoserve impa	act	National	Grid Transmission Impact	
change is not delivered.	/orkaround curre				
Is there a Workaround in					
operation?	$\square$ No				
If yes who is accountable for the					
workaround?	Loserve     External Cust	omor			
	□ Both Xoserve and External Customer				
What is the Frequency of the			a Customer		
workaround?					
What is the lifespan for the					
workaround?					
What is the number of resource					
effort hours required to service workaround?					
What is the Complexity of the	Low (easy, rep	etitive, guick tas	sk, verv little risk	of human error)	
workaround?				m of offline calculation, possible risk of	
	human error in determining outcome)				
	□ High (complicate task, time consuming, requires specialist resources, high risk of				
Change Drievitiesties Seere	human error in deter	mining outcome	e)		
Change Prioritisation Score	44%				

### **Document Version History**

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Summary of Changes
1.0	Proposal	27th September 2018	Richard Johnson	
2.0	Proposal	2nd October 2018	Heather Spensley	Appendix 1 added
3.0	Proposal	5th October 2018	Xoserve	Section C added following DSG discussion on 1st October
4.0	Proposal	11th October 2018	Xoserve	Updated to reflect a wider industry position
5.0	Proposal	11th October 2018	Xoserve	Section B added, ready to begin an initial review in Friday's Change Pack and updates added from ChMC Meeting on 10 <sup>th</sup> October 2018
6.0	Proposal	26th October	Xoserve	Representation Matrix – 29 <sup>th</sup> October 2018

**XX**Serve

		2018		
7.0	With DSG	09/11/18	Xoserve	Notes from ChMC meeting on 7th
				November added
8.0	With DSG	23/11/18	Rachel	Notes from DSG meeting on 19th
			Taggart	November added
9.0	With DSG	27/12/18	Richard	Notes from DSG meeting on 17 <sup>th</sup>
			Johnson	December added

### **Template Version History**

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Summary of Changes
3.0	Approved	17/07/18	Emma Smith	Template approved at ChMC on 11 <sup>th</sup> July
4.0	Approved	07/09/18	Emma Smith	Minor wording amendments and additional customer group impact within

**XX**>serve