

UIG Task Force Recommendations

Investigation Item 1
Use of Estimates for DM Sites

Background

What is the finding?

- Where actual reads are not received or are rejected, for Class 1 and 2 sites a D-7 estimate is used (i.e. the same consumption as 7 days ago is used if available otherwise other AQ/365)
- This may not be a good representation of the actual consumption and any difference would contribute to UIG
- As at 01/10/18, c. 3bn kWh of Class 1 and 2 (i.e. DM) AQ has not had an actual meter reading accepted for over 3 months
- Read submission rate is 45% for Class 2 against a UNC target of 97.5%

How does it contribute to UIG?

- This AQ without an actual meter read equates to c 0.6% of total LDZ AQ and creates a risk of both base UIG and volatility, if the actual usage is not well represented by the D-7 estimation processes.
- DM sites' consumption can sometimes vary by -50% and +100% from the average on any given day, so this may contribute spikes of around 0.6% on a day
- Assuming 10% change in usage since last reading, this could be contributing around 0.06% to base usage (i.e. 10% x 0.6% AQ at risk).

Options to Address the Finding

No.	Option	Likelihood of Success	Implementation Lead Times
1.	No action ("Do Nothing" option)	Very low	N/A
2.	Engagement with DMSPs – monitor read rejections for Class 1. Resurrect previous initiatives to monitor and help resolution Engagement with Shippers – monitor read rejections for Class 2. Provide encouragement for action to be taken. Xoserve to monitor monthly and notify relevant Shippers/DMSPs	Low to medium – requires Shipper/DMSP co-operation	Short to medium
3.	Notify Ofgem of individual sites and associated Shippers	Low to medium – requires Shipper co-operation unless Ofgem can apply any financial leverage	Short to medium
4.	PAC reporting and monitoring – PAC to engage with shippers on basis of existing and/or new reports in Performance Assurance Report Register. Consideration of any additional reporting to PAC	Low to medium – requires Shipper co-operation unless financial incentives are also introduced	Medium
5.	Changes to UNC – see next slide	Low to high	Medium to long

Possible UNC Modifications


No.	Option	Likelihood of Success	Implementation Lead Times
Α.	Review DMSP read incentive framework (Class 1)	Low to medium, depending on structure of incentives	Short to medium
В.	Reduce the duration for the Class 2 Must Read trigger & extend to include Class 1	Medium to high, depending on access rates for must reads	Medium
C.	Introduce incentives or liabilities for low submission rates for Class 2, and/or extend Class 1 liabilities to apply to shippers	Medium to high, depending on structure of regime	Medium/long – UNC Mod timescales plus system changes
D.	CDSP obtains reads by installing AMR	Medium to high, depending on accuracy of asset details	Long
E.	Amend the industry processes to allow CDSP to obtain the reads directly from the read provider (DMSP/DCC etc)	High	Very long

Xoserve Recommendations

Xoserve recommendation – combination of activities

2. Shipper Engagement

Short-term via Xoserve Account Managers and internal reporting



Medium-term via agreed change to PAC Report Register (UNCC governed) and Change Proposal for extra reports



6B & C: Shorten/Extend
Must Read timescales
and introduce
incentives/ penalties

Long-term via UNC Modification and Change Proposal (raised and sponsored by Industry party)

XOServe