X Serve

UIG Task Force Investigation Findings

1: Use of Estimates for DM sites

Summary of Findings			Findings Status	Closed
Area & Ref #	Use of Estimates for DM sites (Ref #1)		UIG Impact Peak Volatility %	0.6% (est)
UIG Hypothesis	Where actual reads are not received or are rejected, for Class 1 and 2 sites a D-7 estimate is used. This may not be a good representation of the actual consumption and the difference would contribute to UIG.		UIG Impact Annual Average %	0.06% (est)
Data Tree References	Class 1 & 2 Allocated Energy (Estimated Energy)		Confidence in Percentages	Medium
Findings		Approach to analysis		
As at 01/10/18, c. 3bn kWh of Class 1 and 2 (i.e. DM) AQ has not had an actual meter reading accepted for over 3 months.		For all live sites in Class 1 and 2, obtained the history of actual meter readings (i.e. ignoring any estimated meter readings).		
Read submission rate is 45% for Class 2 against a UNC target of 97.5%		Where the site had not had an actual meter reading for 3 months as at 01/10/18, summed the AQ of those sites and expressed as a % of that Shipper's portfolio (in AQ terms) and of national AQ.		
DM sites' consumption can sometimes vary by -50% and +100% from the average on any given day, so		Used these statistics to give a "value at risk", i.e. an amount of AQ that had not had a recent meter reading and for which the recent estimated consumption may be inaccurate (either higher or lower).		
		Confidence levels are medium, as the actual different between daily estimates and actual consumption will be unknown until the next actual meter reading loads		